CALUC Meeting Report: October 3, 2019

Address: 137/139 Robertson St, 1848/1850 Hollywood Cres.

Developer: Trevor Moat

Design Consultant: Rus Collins,

Zebra Group

Attendance: 18



Project Summary: Legal non-confirming four-plex to be demolished and redeveloped as a strata four-plex.

Rezoning Requested	Current	Proposed	
	R1-G	Site specific zone	Building does not conform to current zoning
Variances	No	No	
OCP Amendment required?	No	Yes	
Number of Units	4	4	
	Current Zone	Proposed	
Site Coverage	36%	36.45%/38.37% (storage incl)	
Number of parking stalls	4	4	
Set Back East	4.25m (13.9 ft)	3.84m (12.6 ft)	
Set Back West	5.5m (18.0 ft)	3.67m (12.0 ft)	
Set Back South	0.5m (1.6 ft) 0.5m (1.6 ft)	2.83m (9.3 ft) 1.51m (5.0 ft)	Building Storage

Set Back North	3.76m (12.4 ft) 3.76m (12.4 ft)	2.83m (9.3 ft) 1.51m (5.0 ft)	Building Storage
	Actual Building	Proposed Building	
FSR (Floor Space Ratio)	58%	56%	Storage excluded
Height	7.6m (24.93 ft)	8.24m (27.04 ft)	

Themes:

End of life building, retain 4 plex

- 1930s house does not adhere to building code; repair/salvage difficult and costly due to condition and design; Heritage conversion option not felt to be the best option
- Challenges with flow of traffic and parking; new building would suit the shape of the property and adhere to required one parking apron per street (currently 2 aprons on Hollywood)
- Increased densification: 4x1bdr ~ 750 sq ft suites would increase to 2x1 bdr and 2x2 bdr suites; one lower-level unit has the option for accessible living
 - Unit 1 765 sq ft
 - Unit 2 786 sq ft
 - Unit 3 703 + 662 sq ft
 - Unit 4 661 + 661 sq ft

Zoning & Parking

- Site specific zone request which does not set a precedent and the existing 4 plex use is legal;
- not proposing to change the use of the property other than increasing the size of the units;
- Other properties on Robertson that are triplexes/strata duplexes; almost all of the adjoining lots are smaller and do not have 2 street access and wouldn't support a 4 plex with off-street parking
- Presently there is an apron with a parking spot on the north side and 3 parking spots on the south side; traffic control says you can't have 2 aprons within 60' of each other; new configuration is one two-car apron on Robertson and one two-car apron on Hollywood

Community Consultation

- Third iteration of the design includes feedback from neighbour consultation
- Building orientation is east-west and design resembles a single-family dwelling on each street
- Attempt to maintain a relationship between the new house and existing neighbours; the highest level of the new building is lower than both neighbours on the Hollywood side; on the Robertson side, attempt made to lower the roofline to better conform to neighbor to the south
- Site Data: there will be more open site space and more site coverage; bottom level is not a basement and is also not included in the total floor space as per the City of Victoria definition in that it is less than the max allowable grade to be included in the floor space (1.64 vs 1.8m); setbacks increase on both sides with the centering of the building

Neighbourhood Comments/Feedback on development proposal:

Parking

Concern with parking on Hollywood (can only park on one side); is limiting one parking space per unit going to placate the current parking concerns? Developer agrees that Hollywood parking is a problem and is putting the parking on 2 streets vs only on one as it is currently; also a popular tourist strip for cars and bike traffic; has there been a request to the City of Victoria to limit the parking to residents only, especially in the summer when there is increased traffic to the beach?

Rental or owner occupied?

- Proposing a strata development with rentals allowed; not considered below-market value, there not "affordable"

Quality of Finishing materials (Fit and Finish)

- high quality (Zebra Design)

Impact on neighbours' privacy

- South side Robertson owner does not like the impact that it will have on their house with balconies and decks; the two terraces at ground level will impact privacy; parking already impacts them
 - Developer says terrace will be 4.5' lower than ground level to increase privacy and to get light into the unit; could include a fence, however it would have to be 42" tall; possible to plant a tall hedge instead
- North side owner says developer has done a great job reaching out to the community, but this proposal will affect them greatly as their living space is on the 2 and 3rd floors (lower floor is a rental suite); will negatively affect air and light privacy; may

have to close windows which will affect air flow; the height of the house will affect shadows in the winter; has tried to work out concerns to no avail

 Developer says the height on the north side has been reduced after consultation with neighbour

Other:

- In agreement with gentle density, house is at end of life and is not adding anything to the street scape; would appreciate getting going on the approvals and not have the division in the community
- Supports the development; developer has listened to neighbors and made changes to impact the neighbouring houses as minimally as possible;
- A number of properties in the area have reached end of life and someone will be building something there; this development touches on many positive points
- Great design
- Nothing positive to say about this development
- If this was to be a single-family dwelling, it wouldn't have as much disruption, i.e. bike lockers etc; could almost be seen as dorm-like living
- Increasing development on Hollywood Crescent has everyone fatigued
- Completely against this development due to not enough off-street parking as there is an assumption that each unit will have more than 1 vehicle; traffic flow in the neighbourhood is north-bound; lot is too small for this development; oppose site-specific rezoning application
- 4 units that will be more affordable than a single family dwelling on this property
- Provides an opportunity for people to downsize and stay in neighbourhood